### Center for Higher Education College of Education

Illinois State University, Normal, Illinois 61761-6901

SINCE 1958

# Grapevine

28th YEAR

Number 333

December 1986

Page 2095

#### TIMELY DATA CIRCULATED WHILE CURRENT

Reports on state tax legislation; state appropriations for universities, colleges, and community colleges; legislation affecting education beyond the high school.

#### IN THIS ISSUE

DATA REVISIONS are included for the last three fiscal years, making comparisons over time more accurate. . . . . 2095 Updated reports for Arkansas, Kentucky and Washington. . . 2096 Missouri, New Mexico, and New York are newly reported for fiscal year 1986-87; were included in the 50-state summary in the last issue of GRAPEVINE. . . . . . 2097-2098 "What the Figures Are Intended to Mean" bears repeating; data are useful if correctly interpreted. . . . . . 2099 Grateful acknowledgments to those who make GRAPEVINE possible . 2100

## \*-\*-\*-\*-\*

#### DATA REVISIONS

One of the most significant improvements in GRAPEVINE in recent years has been the incorporation of revisions to the data which occur subsequent to the initial legislative appropriation. Until the late 1970s, the issue of data revisions was virtually non-existent and occurred only sporadically. Since the late 1970s, there have been a number of states, sometimes as many as half of the states, which have made revisions to the initial legislative appropriations of state tax funds for the operating expenses of higher education. These supplemental decisions may be made by a legislature or by a governor, and the decision may result in either enhancements or reductions in the original appropriations. For analytical and research purposes, GRAPEVINE will incorporate as many of these revisions as possible, including publication of the 50-state data in THE CHRONICLE OF HIGHER EDUCATION in October and in the National Association of State Universities and Land-Grant Colleges report which is published early in the calendar year.

Beginning with the 1986-87 edition of Appropriations of State Tax Funds for Operating Expenses of Higher Education (the publication), there will be two columns of figures for each state: one column contains the most current data available for the present fiscal year (in this case FY1987) and the other is for the preceding fiscal year (in this case FY1986). By publishing both columns of data, it is anticipated that analysts and researchers will be able to utilize the most accurate and current data available.

#### REVISIONS AND UPDATES

REVISIONS in <u>Arkansas</u> and <u>Washington</u>: The original appropriations for operating expenses of higher education in both of these states were made in 1985 for the ensuing biennium. Since that time, the appropriations for fiscal year 1986-87, the second year of the biennium, have been revised. The two tabulations below report the most recent figures, and these data were used in the summary table, map and narrative in the last issue of GRAPEVINE.

#### ARKANSAS

Table 99. Appropriations of state tax funds for operating expenses of higher education, <u>fiscal year 1986-87</u>, in thousands of dollars.

Institutions	Sums	appropriated
(1)		(2)
U of Arkansas, all camp	uses	163,940
Arkansas State U		26,942
Southern Arkansas U		11,750
U of Central Arkansas		19,402
Arkansas Tech U		10,846
Henderson State U		8,649
Community Colleges		20,790
Student Aid		7,747
Other appropriations		3,116
Total		273,182

KENTUCKY. Recently received are revised and corrected figures which are reported in the adjacent column. These data reflect a recent \$9.6 million operating budget cut and a reallocation of the funds appropriated to the Council on Higher Education for distribution to the institutions.

Table 1 supersedes Table 75, page 2075 in GRAPEVINE (August 1986). The revisions, dated December 1, were not available for the last issue of GRAPEVINE or for the fact file in the October 29th issue of THE CHRONICLE OF HIGHER EDUCATION. The percentages of gain over two and ten years become 13 and 123, respectively, placing Kentucky in the third quartile, just below the national average two-year gain of 14%.

#### WASHINGTON

Table 100. Appropriations of state tax funds for operating expenses of higher education, <u>fiscal year 1986-87</u>, in thousands of dollars.

Institutions	Sums	appropriated
(1)		(2)
University of Washingt	ton*	179,841
Washington State U**		107,259
Regional Universities		
Western Washington U	J	30,667
Eastern Washington U	J	29,546
Central Washington U	IJ	25,295
Subtotal, R Us		85,508
Evergreen State College	ge	13,769
Community Colleges		205,560
Council for Postsecond	lary I	Ed 1,817
Student financial at	id	15,977
WICHE		206
Total		609,937

<sup>\*</sup>Includes the medical school.

Table 1. Appropriations of state tax funds for operating expenses of higher education, fiscal year 1986-87, in thousands of dollars.

Institutions	Sums	appropriated
(1)		(2)
University of Kentucky		157,450
Community College syst	em _	32,617
Subtotal, U of K		190,067
U of Louisville		94,004
Eastern Kentucky U		38,646
Western Kentucky U		37 <b>,</b> 946
Murray State U		28,704
Morehead State U		23,354
Northern Kentucky U		19,704
Kentucky State U		12, $\S$
KY Higher Ed Asst Author	rity	8,575
Council on Higher Ed		4,764
Educational TV/Consort	tia	250
Total		458,968

<sup>\*\*</sup>Includes agricultural research and extension.

#### MISSOURI

Table 2. Appropriations of state tax funds for operating expenses of higher education, fiscal year 1986-87, in thousands of dollars.

Institutions	Sums	appropriated
(1)		(2)
University of Missour:	i	
Columbia*		126,029
Kansas City		45,411
Rolla		29,061
St. Louis		26,535
System-wide programs	s	20,868
State-wide programs		7,704
Subtotal, U of M,		255,608
State Universities and	d Col	
Southwest		37,770
Central		26,162
Southeast		25,196
Northeast		20,626
Northwest		14,934
Southern		10,111
Western		9,864
Lincoln		8,338
Harris-Stowe		4,213
Subtotal, U & C's	,	157,214
vid to public jr coll		51,845
MO Student Grant Prog		8,644
Coord Brd for Higher	Educa	
Total		476,420
* Includes \$15,500,00		the teaching
hospital and clinic	s	

#### NEW MEXICO

Table 3. Appropriations of state tax funds for operating expenses of higher education, <u>fiscal year 1986-87</u>, in thousands of dollars.

opriated
(2)
72,129
16,225
1,442
6,535
1,473
2,000
1,724
2,363
1,174
1,158
106,223

NEW MEXICO (Continued from prec.	column)
New Mexico State U	46,852
Ag experiment station	6,025
Ag extension service	4,546
St Dept of Agriculture*	3,292
Alamogordo branch	1,810
Carlsbad branch	1,299
Dona Ana branch	1,742
Grants branch	1,033
Subtotal, NMSU	66,599
Eastern New Mexico U	14,038
Roswell branch	3,139
Clovis branch	2,576
Subtotal, ENMU	19,753
NM Inst of Mining & Tech	9,617
State Bureau of Mines*	2,141
Subtotal, NMIMT	11,758
NM Highlands U	9,629
Western New Mexico U	6,767
Community Colleges	
Northern New Mexico CC	3,356
Santa Fe Com Col	2,098
San Juan College	471
New Mexico Junior College	437
Subtotal, CC's	6,362
Board of Educational Finance	765
WICHE	55
State work-study	1,596
Student Incentive Grants	1,329
Student loans	, 377
Student grants	245
Student exchange grants	2,069
TV equipment replacement	25
Subtotal, BEF	6,461
Total	233,552

\*State function administered through the institution.

The percentages of gain over two and ten years for these states are:

	2-yr	10-yr
State	gain	gain
Missouri	19	101
New Mexico	2	185
New York	15	117

The totals and percentages of gain were reported in the 50 state summary table, page 2088, GRAPEVINE (October-November 1986) and in THE CHRONICLE OF HIGHER EDUCATION, October 29, 1986.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The editors of GRAPEVINE wish to express appreciation to those many readers and users of the research report who have provided invaluable technical assistance and support as the work of M. M. Chambers is continued. The State Higher Education Finance Officers, and others in the network of GRAPEVINE correspondents, are indispensable as providers of the data appearing in GRAPEVINE. Without their interest and assistance GRAPEVINE could not exist.

GRAPEVINE continues to work with other national collectors of state higher education finance data. It is recommended that the reports by these individuals be used. They include <u>How States Compare in Financing Higher Education</u> by Kent Halstead, the State of Washington's <u>National Comparison of Financial Support of Higher Education</u>, and <u>Higher Education Financing in the Fifty States</u> by Marilyn McCoy and Kent Halstead.

The third annual meeting of the GRAPEVINE Advisory Committee was held in August 1986 in Boston, in conjunction with the annual meeting of the State Higher Education Finance Officers. For their support and interest in improving the accuracy and usefulness of the data, sincere appreciation to:

#### GRAPEVINE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Brenda Albright, Tennessee, State Higher Education Finance Officer (SHEFO) Roslyn Elms, California Postsecondary Education Commission Ross Hodel, Illinois, SHEFO

Garven Hudgins, Communications Services, National Association of State Universities and Land-Grant Colleges

Jackie Johnson, Washington, Higher Education Coordinating Board Lucie Lapovsky, Maryland, SHEFO

Aims McGuinness, Education Commission of the States

James Mingle, Executive Director, State Higher Education Executive Officers Michael Mullen, Virginia, SHEFO

Gail Norris, Utah, SHEFO

Richard Novak, American Association of State Colleges and Universities William Webb, Texas, SHEFO

Center for Higher Education
College of Education
Illinois State University, Normal, IL 61761-6901

## Grapeviņe

Edward Hines, Director and Editor M.M. Chambers, Founding Editor Gwen B. Pruyne, Managing Editor

Responsibility for any errors in the data, or for opinions expressed, is not to be attributed to any organization or person other than the Editors.

**GRAPEVINE** is circulated to key persons in the fifty states. Not Copyrighted.

Non-Profit Org. U.S. POSTAGE PAID Normal, Illinois Permit No. 1

#### WHAT THE FIGURES ARE INTENDED TO MEAN

The data for this report are supplied by key persons in each state who report them to the monthly research report, GRAPEVINE. The ground rules used to achieve an approach to uniformity of reporting are enumerated below. Diversities of practice among the 50 states make it impossible to eliminate all inconsistencies and to accomplish absolute comparability among states and among institutions. We emphasize that comparisons are of limited usefulness but have value if correctly interpreted.

- 1. Report only appropriations; not actual expenditures.
- 2. Report only sums appropriated for annual operating expenses.
- 3. For the state tax appropriations, in complex universities, set out separately the sums appropriated for (or allocated to) the main campuses, branch campuses, and medical centers (even if on the main campus). The medical center item should include operation of colleges of medicine, dentistry, pharmacy, nursing, and teaching hospitals, either lumped as one sum or set out separately as preferred.

#### 4. Include:

- sums appropriated for state aid to local public community colleges and for operation of state-supported community colleges, and for vocational technical two-year colleges or institutes which are predominantly for high school graduates and adult students.
- sums appropriated to statewide coordinating boards or governing boards, either for board expenses or for allocation by the board to other institutions or both.
- sums appropriated for state scholarships or other student financial aids.
- sums destined for higher education, but appropriated to some other state agency. Examples: funds intended for faculty fringe benefits may be appropriated to the State Treasurer and disbursed by that office; certain funds for medical and health education may be appropriated to the state department of health and disbursed from that department. Sometimes these sums have to be estimated because the exact amount disbursed cannot be known until after the end of the fiscal period.
- appropriations directed to private institutions of higher education at all levels.

#### 5. Exclude:

- appropriations for capital outlays and debt service.
- appropriations of sums derived from federal sources, student fees, auxiliary enterprises, and other non-tax sources.

#### NEW YORK

Table 1. Appropriations of state tax funds for operating expenses of higher education, <u>fiscal year 1986-87</u>, in thousands of dollars.

Institutions Sums ap	propriated
(1)	(2)
State University of New York	(SUNY)
University Centers	
Stony Brook	234,470
Buffalo	157,803
Albany	71,424
Binghamton	50,814
Subtotal, U Ctrs	514,511
Medical Centers	
Downstate (NYC)	122,802
Upstate (Syracuse)	98,385
Subtotal, Med Ctrs	221,187
Colleges of Arts & Science	
Buffalo	37,435
Brockport	28,167
Oswego	27,781
New Paltz	25,000
Oneonta	22,714
Cortland	22,346
Plattsburgh	21,819
Potsdam	19,485
Fredonia	20,185
Geneseo	20,169
Purchase	17,809
Old Westbury	14,309
Subtotal, C of A&S	277,219
Statutory Colleges	07 060
Land-Grant at Cornell	87,869
Ceramics at Alfred U	5,598
Subtotal, State Colls	93,467
Specialized Colleges	16 660
Environment Sci & Forest	16,662
Empire State	12,998
Coll of Technology	10,644
Optometry	10,271
Maritime	7,865
Subtotal, Spec Colls	58,440

NEW YORK (Continued from pre	ceding col.)
Agricultural & Technical (	Colleges
Farmingdale	26,684
Alfred	15,907
Cobleskill	11,242
Morrisville	11,059
Delhi	10,747
Canton	9,160
Subtotal, A&T Colls	84,799
University-wide programs*	111,356
Fringe benefits	340,381
Cornell land script	34
SUNY gross total	1,701,394
Less student fees, etc.	<u> </u>
SUNY net tax fund total	1,369,753
Cornell coop & extension	2,607
Community Colleges	
SUNY	191,190
CUNY	84,340
Subtotal, CC's	275,530
Other Programs	
Aid to CUNY	449,557
Tuition assistance	421,500
Aid to independent colls	154,430
Scholar & fellowships	29,683
Guaranteed student loans	
Higher ed services corp	9,993
Higher ed administration	4,275
Chairs, science-humanities	
Aid to native Americans	385
Aid to academic libraries	2,066
Subtotal, other	1,072,889
Total	2,720,779
*Includes central administ	ration, but

\*Includes central administration, but excludes dormitory operations.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

These newly-reported and revised tabulations are included in the annual summary, Appropriations of State Tax Funds for Operating Expenses of Higher Education, 1986-87, published by the National Association of State Universities and Land-Grant Colleges, One Dupont Circle, N.W., Washington, DC 20036. This publication will be available early in the next calendar year. To obtain a copy, there is a nominal charge to those who are not members of NASULGC.