M. M. Chambers Dept of Ednl Administration and Foundations Illinois State University, Normal, Illinois 61761

GRAPEVINE

 SINCE
 25 th

 1958
 YEAR

 Number 299
 May 1983
 Page 1877

TIMELY DATA CIRCULATED WHILE CURRENT

Reports on state tax legislation; state appropriations for universities, colleges, and community colleges; legislation affecting education beyond the high school.

IN THIS ISSUE

| TWO WESTERN STATES SHOW DOLLAR INCREASES FOR FISCAL 1984 OVER FISCAL 1982, in appropriations for annual operation of higher education 187 |
|---|
| Utah appropriates \$198 million; a two-year gain of 14 per cent |
| New Mexico appropriates 187½ million; a two-year gain of 9 per cent |
| THE OUTLOOK FOR FISCAL 1984; Will the states revamp their revenue systems? Governors advocating improved income tax and sales tax measures 1879 |
| Michigan enacts higher income tax in March, retro- active to January 1, 1983 |
| Illinois Governor advocates much-needed rise in income taxes and other improved tax legis-lation to produce \$1.9 billion enhanced annual revenue |
| PUBLICATIONS OF STATEWIDE BOARDS: Newsletters from nine states |

* * * * *

NEW MEXICO. Appropriations of state tax funds for operating expenses of higher education, fiscal year 1983-84:

Table 60. State tax-fund appropriations for operating expenses of higher education in New Mexico, fiscal year 1983-84, in thousands of dollars.

| Institutions | Sums appropriated |
|-------------------------|-------------------|
| (1) | (2) |
| University of New Mexi | |
| Medical school | 14,638 |
| Cancer center | 1,150 |
| Special health progr | ams 1, 676 |
| Emergency med serv a | cademy 418 |
| Medical investigator | |
| Gallup branch | 1,553 |
| Belen branch | 703 |
| Los Alamos branch | 736 |
| Subtotal, UNM - \$87,61 | 5 |
| New Mexico State U | 38,318 |
| Ag experiment statio | n 5,796 |
| Ag extension | 3,777 |
| State Dept of Agricu | lture* 2,876 |
| Alamogordo branch | 1,450 |
| Dona Ăna branch | 1,261 |
| Carlsbad branch | 1,041 |
| Grants branch | 793 |
| Subtotal, NMSU - \$55,3 | 12 |
| Eastern New Mexico U | 12,431 |
| Roswell branch | 3,293 |
| Clovis branch | 1,938 |
| Subtotal, ENMU - \$17,6 | 62 |
| NM Inst of Mining & Te | ch 7,017 |
| State Bureau of Mine | s* 2,006 |
| Subtotal, NMIMT - \$9,0 | 23 |
| New Mexico Highlands U | |
| Western New Mexico U | 4,552 |
| Northern NM Community | College 3,068 |
| San Juan Community Col | |
| New Mexico Junior Coll | ege 403 |
| Board of Educational F | |
| SSIG | 390 |
| TV Equipment replace | |
| WICHE dues | 50 |
| Special athletics fu | |
| Subtotal, BEF - \$1,376 | |
| Total | 187,600 |
| *State function admini | stand through |

*State function administered through the institution.

<u>UTAH</u>. Appropriations of state tax Funds for operating expenses of higher education, fiscal year 1983-84.

Table 61. State tax-fund appropriations for operating expenses of higher education in Utah, <u>fiscal year 1983-84</u>, in thousands of dollars.

| (ii dilogodilao oi aoriaro. | |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Institutions Sums approp | riated |
| (1) | (2) |
| University of Utah | 74,046 |
| School of medicine | 9,497 |
| University hospital | 2,402 |
| Research & training grants | 1,768 |
| . Special enterprises* | 1,004 |
| Subtotal U of U - \$88,717 | 1,007 |
| Utah State University | 34,087 |
| Ag experiment station | 4,932 |
| Coop extension | 3,888 |
| Research & training grants | 604 |
| Special enterprises** | 1,456 |
| Subtotal, USU - \$44,967 | 1,100 |
| State colleges - | |
| Weber State College | 23,527 |
| Utah Tech Coll, Salt Lake | 9,122 |
| Utah Tech Coll, Provo | 8,026 |
| Southern Utah State Coll | 7,272 |
| Snow College | 4,177 |
| Dixie College | 3,990 |
| Coll of Eastern Utah | 3,240 |
| Subtotal, S C's - \$59,354 | 3,240 |
| Board of Regents | 999 |
| Statewide TV | 1,482 |
| | 820 |
| Coop nursing WICHE | 1,049 |
| Computer serv & uniform accting | 441 |
| NDSL matching | 169 |
| Intercollegiate assembly | 3 |
| Fifth Pathway | 59 |
| Subtotal, B of R - \$5,022 | |
| | 198,060 |
| *Includes: educationally disadva | |
| \$519,600; Center for Economic De | |
| ment, \$68,700; seismograph stat | |
| \$167,700; Museum of Natural His | |
| \$200,200; State arboretum, \$48,2 | |
| **Includes: educationally disadvan | |
| \$83,800; water research lab, \$18 | |
| ecology center, \$459,200; South | eastern |
| Utah Cont Ed Ctr, \$172,400; Uin | tah Basin |
| Cont Ed Ctr, \$429,800; Man and I | His Bread |
| Museum \$22 500. Coop Master of 1 | id with |

Museum \$82,500; Copp Master of Ed with

SUSC, \$43,200.

THE OUTLOOK FOR FISCAL 1984

As of May 1, 1983 is not the best time to predict what fifty state legislatures will do in their calendar 1983 regular and special sessions. However, reluctant as GRAPEVINE is to speculate about appropriations before the actual dollar figures can be reported, there is reason for maintaining a note of optimism rather than giving way to hysterical panic.

Remember that in calendar 1982 some twenty states raised taxes to produce about \$3 billion of additional revenue. For the preceding year (1981) increases in state revenues were roughly the same, so that the aggregate gains were \$6 billion for the two calendar years 1981 and 1982. What of calendar 1983? Recent and current slight brightening of the nationwide economic picture not only preserves the accustomed uncertainties, but also creates new ones.

Exaggerated and premature anticipation of quick and strong economic recovery could give rise to the questionable thought that state revenue systems need not be improved, because with the arrival of a swift and permanent economic upturn the states will find themselves rolling in additional revenues without any changes in the scope or rates of their taxes. This may be a theoretical possibility, but not a practical probability.

Will the States Revamp Their Revenue Systems?

The March 1983 issue of State Government News, published by the Council of State Governments, P. O. Box 11910, Iron Works Pike, Lexington, KY 40578, carried a survey of the views of governors of about 40 states and what they proposed to their respective legislatures. Summarized briefly, this effort showed more than 20 governors recommending important tax increases.

State Income Taxes

Governors of at least six state advocate higher state income taxes: Illinois, Michigan, South Carolina, Vermont, West Virginia, and Wisconsin.

Up to now, personal income taxes in the states are considerably more product tive than corporate income taxes; but income taxes (personal and corporate) constitute the second largest source of annual revenues for the fifty state governments. (A very few states have neither type of income tax.) There are complications involving highly technical arguments over what parts of the assets and operations of giant corporations (nationwide and multinational) can be appropriately taxed by a state within which they may conduct various portions, large or small, of their total transactions.

General Sales Taxes

The general sales tax is a large and quick producer of revenue. There is a long-time trend toward higher rates; and another toward extending the coverage beyond retail sales to include various types of purchased services. Governors of four or more states currently advocate one or both of these measures: Idaho, Iowa, South Carolina, and Washington.

Special sales taxes on gasoline are urged by the governors of Idaho, Illinois, Vermont, and West Virginia. Special sales taxes (often called excise taxes) on alcoholic beverages, and on tobacco products, are widely suggested as targets for increase. Sales taxes (general and special) constitute the largest single source of annual revenues for the fifty state govenments. Five small states have no general sales taxes.

MARYLAND

State Board for Higher Education Record is a bimonthly report of activities of the statewide coordinating agency and its staff, including Board actions, statistics of higher education in Maryland, and digest of various studies. The public sector embraces the University of Maryland with its campuses at College Park, Baltimore City, Baltimore County, and Eastern Shore; and eight state colleges and universities, plus a public system of community colleges distributed throughout the state. (16 Francis Street, Annapolis, MD 21401).

MINNESOTA.

MHECB Report is the monthly newsletter of the Minnesota Higher Education Coordinating Board, Suite 400, Capitol Square Building, 550 Cedar Street, St. Paul, MN 55101. This is the coordinating agency for the statewide system comprising the University of Minnesota (including campuses at Minneapolis-St. Paul, Duluth, and Morris, and two-year technical colleges at Crookston and Waseca); seven state universities; eighteen state community colleges; and local vocational-technical institutes.

TEXAS.

C B Report is the cryptic title of the monthly newsletter of the Cordinating Board of the Texas College and University System, P.O. Box 12788, Austin, TX 78711. In total population, Texas has recently surpassed Pennsylvania and become the third most populous state in the nation (after California and New York). For fiscal 1983, its total appropriations of state tax funds for annual operating expenses of higher education exceeded \$2 billion, and were slightly higher than those of New York, making Texas second only to California by that measure.

WEST VIRGINIA Higher Education Reporter of the West Virginia Board of Regents, 950 Kanawha Boulevand, East Charleston, WV 25301, is aptly called "News of public interest concerning the state system of higher education." Appearing monthly, it is compact and well-written. The Board is the governing board of the statewide public system of universities, colleges, and community colleges.

Illinois State University, Normal, IL 61761

GRAPEVINE

M. M. Chambers, Editor Gwen B. Pruyne, Managing Editor

Responsibility for any errors in the data, or for opinions expressed, is not to be attributed to any organization or person other than M. M. Chambers.

GRAPEVINE is circulated to numerous key persons in each of the fifty states,

Not copyrighted. If you quote or paraphrase, please credit the source in appropriate manner.

Non-Profit Org.
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
Normal, Illinois
Permit No. 1

During GRAPEVINE's 25 years of operation it has accumulated a considerable collection of statewide studies of higher education, "master plans," annual reports of statewide boards, and occasional monographs on various segments of the systems, as well as correspondence with key persons in all fifty states. A distinct type-the monthly or bimonthly newsletter--is currently being received from a dozen or more states. Identification and brief descriptions of some of these frequent and regular periodicals appear below.

Newsletters

ARIZONA.

Arizona Board of Regents Newsletter is a concise (usually two pages, 8½" x II") report issued after each monthly meeting of the Board. The Board of Regents governs the University of Arizona at Tucson, Arizona State University at Tempe, and Northern Arizona University at Flagstaff. One of the Board's members is officially designated as liaison representative to the State Board of Directors of Community Colleges. (1535 W. Jefferson, Phoenix, AZ 85007.)

CALIFORNIA.

The <u>Director's Report of the California Postsecondary Education</u>
Commission comes monthly from the office of that coordinating
board at 1020 Twelfth Street, Sacramento, CA 95184. The issue for
February 1983 (Commission Report 83-7) is a 43-page analysis of
the governor's budget for fiscal year 1983-84, covering in some
detail not only the segments and institutions of higher education,
but also their place in the context of the statewide budget, both
currently and in recent history. One-tenth of the nation's people
live in California. Its current annual appropriations of state
funds for operating expenses of higher education (more than \$3 billion) are somewhat more than one-eighth of the total for all 50 states.

GEORGIA.

The <u>System Summary</u> is more comprehensive and detailed than the average <u>publication</u> of its type. It carries reports of board actions, administrative appointments, faculty retirements, statistics of encrollments, degrees granted, and financial support for the 33 institutions composing the University System of Georgia, governed by the Board of Regents. 244 Washington Street, S.W., Atlanta, GA 30334. (Usually 12 to 16 pages, monthly)

ILLINOIS.

The <u>Journal of the Illinois Board of Higher Education</u> appeared monthly for some years (500 Reisch Building, 4 West Old Capitol Square, Springfield, IL 62701), but is currently suspended or irregular. The <u>Illinois Community College Bulletin</u>, organ of the Illinois Community College Board, and the <u>Illinois Trustee</u> issued by the Illinois Association of Community College Trustees, both emanate from 3085 Stevenson Drive, Springfield, IL 62703. The <u>Illinois Community Collegian</u>, a statewide monthly newsletter, comes from the Office of the President, Illinois State University, Normal IL 61761.

KENTUCKY.

Council Report comes monthly from the Kentucky Council on Higher Education, which is the coordinating board for that state's seven state universities, each of which has its own governing board: University of Kentucky, University of Louisville, Kentucky State University, and regional institutions: Murray State U, Western Kentucky U, Eastern Kentucky U, and Morehead State U. (U.S. 127-South, Frankfort, KY 40601).

(Continued on page 1882)

SIGNS OF IMPROVEMENT IN STATE REVENUE SYSTEMS

It is generally agreed that if every state were to enchance its own tax revenues for state purposes, but were to limit itself to only one major portion of its complex tax picture, the immediately most promising tactic would be to enact measures to raise the productivity of state income taxes.

Michigan Enacted Higher Income Tax in March 1983, Retroactive to January 1

A bill enacted by the legislature late in March was signed by Governor James J. Blanchard March 29, raising the rate of the personal income tax to 6.35 per cent, from the hitherto current rate of 4.6 per cent. This is an increase of more than one-third.

The new law makes the tax effective retroactively to begin with January 1, 1983. It also provides that over the next four years the new rate will be gradually reduced to 5.35 per cent, which still represents a boost of about one-fifth over the hitherto current rate.

This may be the first of many tax enhancement acts in Michigan and many other states.

Much-needed Rise in Income Tax Rates

Governor James R. Thompson says forthrightly that revenue improvement is necessary. He urges the enactment of a package to produce \$1.9 billion annually of additional revenue.

The centerpiece of the plan is to raise the personal income tax rate to 4.0 per cent (compare Michigan's 6.35 in column to the left) from the current 2.5. A closely similar rate of increase is also proposed for the current corporation income tax (now at 6.5 per cent). The package also includes a $3\frac{1}{2}$ cent increase in state tax on gasoline, and a few other lesser types of special sales taxes.

fifteen years (since 1968) without a change in income taxes make the time appropriate.

PUBLICATIONS OF STATEWIDE BOARDS

It occurs to anyone who thinks about statewide authorities in higher education, whether governing boards, coordinating boards, or other, that informing the public about the complex and changing mosaic of institutions, programs, and new demands must have high priority among the responsibilities of such boards.

One can hardly doubt that the whole public has a right to know the up-to-date facts about the statewide system of higher education, by means of a frequent flow of statistics of enrollments at different academic levels and in different segments of the system; the costs to taxpayers, donors, and students; and the outcomes insofar as they can be measured or estimated.

Information regarding all these essentials and many others must be accessible not merely for current months and years, but also at intervals in the history of several past generations. In part from such sources, combined with the study of economic growth and social change, tentative projections of a few years ahead can be hazarded. But a more important result is a reliable factual and philosophical background which leads to full comprehension of what higher education is likely to mean to the states, the nation, and the world in the next generation and the next century.