M. M. Chambers Department of Educational Administration Illinois State University, Normal, Illinois 61761

TIMELY DATA CIRCULATED WHILE CURRENT

SINCE 1958 Number		IGHTI Page	YEA
	GRAPEVINE * * * * *		
colle	rts on state tax legislation; state appropriations for universeges, and junior colleges; legislation affecting education beyonigh school.	ities ond	,
N T	PECIAL NOTE: This December issue goes into the mails in early ovember in order to make timely circulation of CORRECTIONS to able 51 (the 50-state summary table) which appeared in the ovember issue (page 1410).		
	IN THIS ISSUE		
	Florida Commission recommends lump-sum funding 1412		
	<u>Indiana</u> statewide total for 1976-77 revised upward 1412		
	Louisiana statewide total for 1976-77 revised downward . 1412		
	Maryland statewide total for 1974-75 revised upward, and two-year gain accordingly reduced 1412 Allocations to components of the multi-campus University of Maryland shown for first time 1413		
	Minnesota allocations to campuses of the state university system appear		
	Missouri allocations to four campuses of the University of Missouri		
	Nebraska raises general sales tax rate		
	Pennsylvania develops regional higher education 1414		
	Rhode Island raises sales tax rate for one year 1414	,	
	COMPARING FISCAL YEAR 1977 WITH FISCAL YEAR 19711415-1416		

Statement of ownership and circulation of GRAPEVINE is on page 1412 (reverse hereof).

Update of Faculty and Staff Before the Bench 1414

CORRECTIONS for Table 51, page 1410, GRAPEVINE (November 1976), No. 220.

	(11	i chousanus i			
States	Year 1966-67	Year 1974-75	Year 1976-77	2-yr gain per cent	10-yr gain per cent
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Indiana* Louisiana** Maryland***		208,960	322,224 214,998	30 16 23	209 147
Totals		11,252,470	13,911,885	#	#

^{*\$17,983,000} added. This amount was previously omitted from the appropriation to the Scholarship Commission.

**Decreased \$30,000,000 to correct arithmatical error.

#Percentages of gain over two years and ten years are unchanged, standing at 24% and 293%, respectively.

The corrections have been transmitted to the National Association of State Universities and Land-Grant Colleges, and to the <u>Chronicle of Higher Education</u> for their forthcoming publications. Figures for three states are affected; but only negligible changes are made in the 50-state totals; and the <u>2-year and 10-year percentages of nationwide gain are unchanged, standing at 24 per cent and 293 per cent.</u>

FLORIDA. A 19-page Final Report and Recommendations has been released by the Florida Post-Secondary Education Finance Committee. The document is addressed to the state senate, the house of representatives, and the state planning council for post high school education.

The committee was composed of two senators, the commissioner of education, a member of the Board of Regents, a trustee of a public junior college, and four "citizens-at-large," including a banker, a lawyer, a director of adult education, and a university student.

There are 24 recommendations, some of which seem pedestrian or aimed at relatively small segments of the entire statewide educational system. They include the customary press for impossible uniformity of terminology, undesirable sameness of offerings, and unattainable comparability of unit costs; notably observing, however, that "the problem is not unique to Florida, and the solutions are neither quick nor easy."

For its bearing on reasonable university autonomy, Recommendation 4 is perhaps most interesting. It says: "A new basis for funding higher education should be developed....that avoids the negative consequences of the present funding basis."

The committee named reduction of the quality of course content, unnecessary prerequisites and grade inflation as alleged
"undesirable incentives" associated with the current scheme of making funding too dependent on numbers of FTE students in separate program or instructional units, and hence recommended lump-sum funding.
It remarked that "The State University
System could be funded without regard to
FTE with a fixed dollar amount and an annual percentage increase for a period of
time sufficient to test this approach."

The foregoing touches only one of the 24 recommendations. Presumably copies of the 19-page report can be had from the Florida Department of Education, Tallahassee, Florida 32304. It bears no date of issue, but came out in early 1976.

GRAPEVINE is not a publication of any institution or association. Responsibility for any errors in the data, or for opinions expressed, is not to be attributed to any organization or person other than M. M. Chambers. GRAPEVINE is circulated to numerous key persons in each of the fifty states.

^{***}In order to make comparisons over two year, \$27,256,000 was added to the total for fiscal year 1974-75 for salary increases and fringe benefits previously unreported.

M. M. Chambers, Illinois State University, Normal, Illinois 61761

MARYLAND. Table 52 supplements Table 17, page 1382, GRAPEVINE (July 1976).

Table 52. Allocations of state taxfund appropriations to the separate campuses of the University of Maryland, <u>fiscal year 1976-77</u>, in thousands of dollars.

Institution S	ımc	allocated
(1)	uii 5	
lludive and the of Manual		(2)
University of Maryland		52 , 560
Main campus, College Park		52 , 560
Ag experiment station		3,213
Coop extension service*		3,580
<u>Ctr, Environ & Estuarine</u>	st St	:d* 1,653
Subtotal, C P - \$61,006		
Baltimore City campus		15,178
University Hospital*		11,708
Sch of Medicine		6,618
Sch of Dentistry		3,144
Sch of Nursing		1,945
Allied Health		383
Subtotal, B C - \$38,976		
Baltimore County campus		10,626
Eastern Shore campus		3,366
General U expenses		2,537
Total, U of Maryland		116,511
LTI.		

*The arrangement of the various components does not correspond precisely with current administrative structure. The same is true in a few other states. Medical schools or university hospitals or cooperative agricultural extension services are sometimes administratively independent; but are nonetheless grouped with the university for the sake of reasonable comparability with state universities and land-grant universities of other states.

NEBRASKA. The State Board of Equalization, which is empowered to fix the state income tax rate, is reported to have voted on August 12, 1976, to raise it to 17 per cent of each taxpayer's federal income tax liability. The prior rate was 15 per cent.

There was some thought that Governor James Exon might call a special session of the legislature to consider cutting state expenditures this year so the income tax rate would not need to be raised; but it was said that leaders in the unicameral legislature assured the governor that there was no possibility that the legislature would reduce state expenditures this year.

MINNESOTA. Table 53 supplements Table 59, page 1313, GRAPEVINE (August 1975). These figures include additional funds allocated since the original biennial tabulation was published. (See GRAPEVINE, page 1406, November 1976.)

Table 53. Allocations of appropriated funds for operating expenses of the state university system in Minnesota, <u>fiscal year 1976-77</u>, in thousands of dollars.

The Add And Same	
Institutions	Sums allocated
(1)	(2)
State universities	
Mankato	15,407
St. Cloud	13,711
Moorhead	8,101
Bemidji	7,736
Winona	6,528
Southwest	5,054
Metropolitan State	1,269
Computer rental	1,847
Student loan match	175
General research	25
Board off & central acc	ount <u>1,391</u>
Total	61,243

MISSOURI. Table 54 supplements Table 19, page 1383, GRAPEVINE (July 1976).

Table 54: Allocations of appropriated funds by the Board of Curators of the University of Missouri to the several campuses, fiscal year 1976-77, in thousands of dollars.

allocated
(2)
67,752
21,626
13,818
12,293
12,220
127,709
\$1,522,390;
5,804,356;
4,892,783.

"Regionalism in higher PENNSYLVANIA. education" is in a fairly new and developmental (if not to say experimental) stage. The state has approximately a dozen demarcated regions.

As an example one might take the Lehigh Valley (Region 2) which is a sevencounty area having 23 degree-granting institutions, including 2 state colleges, 3 branch campuses of the Pennsylvania State University, 3 community colleges, 11 private nonprofit colleges, and 4

proprietary schools.

Apparently the principal concern within the regions is for long-range planning, studies and recommendations, not for immediate operation or administration. Regionalism obviously produces some decentralization of planning, which may very well be an excellent service.

If regionalism is actually the means of enlisting the interest and participation of many people in each region, it may improve the Commonwealth's statewide planning by keeping it close to the grassroots, and by greatly augmenting public information about higher education throughout the state.

Irene Elizabeth Jordan is Coordinator for Regionalization, in the Pennsylvania Department of Education, Box 911, Harrisburg, PA 17126. From that office comes a small bimonthly newsletter, Higher Education Planning (HEP). Its issue for July/August 1976 is Vol. 3, No. 3 (10

pages).

RHODE ISLAND. The 5 per cent general sales tax rate is increased, for one year only, to 6 per cent. Appropriations for 1976-77 are said to be some \$45 million higher than for the preceding year, with much of the benefit going as state aid to local schools and improved salaries for state employees. Higher education also benefited.

> KEEP HIGHER EDUCATION MOVING A new book by M.M. Chambers. A beam of constructive optimism into the gloom of the 1970's. Interstate Printers & Publishers, Inc., Jackson at Van Buren, Danville, IL 61832. Tel. (217) 443-0500. Pp. 350. Postpaid, \$12.95.

UPDATE OF FACULTY AND STAFF BEFORE THE BENCH

The Colleges and the Courts: Faculty and Staff Before the Bench is a 290-page hardback book published in 1973 by the Interstate Printers and Publishers, Inc., of Danville, IL 61832, at \$8.75 per copy, postpaid. Copies can be purchased from that source.

Carrying the story up to mid-1976 is a 70-page paperbacked *Update* published by the Department of Educational Administration, Illinois State University, Normal, IL 61761, at \$3 per copy postpaid, with discounts for quantities.

Judge-Made Law for Faculty Members

Here is a succinct recital of some sixty decisions of higher courts in some thirty states and the federal jurisdiction, on currently litigated issues involving teachers of all ranks in all types of universities, colleges, and community colleges.

Can a professor be summarily fired because she publishes an article questioning the propriety of the college's purchasing of equipment from a firm in which the chairman of the college board of trustees is a large stockholder?

If not, can the professor be awarded punitive damages against the individual trustees who voted to discharge her unjustly? You may be surprised by the current answers to both of these questions.

The Times Are Changing

Many new stances are gradually being adopted by state and federal courts regarding such matters as discrimination in appointments, salaries, and promotions based on sex, race, national origin, and political beliefs. We are in an era when freedom and justice for faculty and staff members are really advancing, though often slowly. Everyone should have some accurate knowledge of what is going on.

Order Update of Faculty and Staff Before the Bench (Pp. 70; \$3 postpaid) from the Department of Educational Administration, Illinois State University, Normal, IL 61761.

(Payment must accompany orders.)

M. M. Chambers, Illinois State University, Normal, Illinois 61761 COMPARING FISCAL YEAR 1976-77 WITH FISCAL YEAR 1970-71

Always remembering that the neatly arranged numerals can not represent exact or absolute comparability among states or institutions in the real world, look at Table 55, on the reverse of this page.

First, the aggregate of the sums appropriated by the fifty states for the fiscal year 1976-77 is almost exactly $\underline{\text{twice}}$ the comparable figure for fiscal year 1970-71. The gain is about 99.5 per cent. This exceeds the rate of inflation over the same period, and means perhaps about 50 per cent real gains, nationwide.

By a simple device the table undertakes to show the changes in the relative rankings of the 50 states, according to the magnitude of their appropriations for 1971 and for 1977. Observe that nine states maintained their rankings with no change: California, 1; New York, 2; Ohio, 7; Florida, 8; Wisconsin, 10; Indiana, 12; Arizona, 27; New Mexico, 38; and Idaho, 39.

Twenty-one states went up at least one place, and twenty went down. The number one rocket appears to be Alabama, rising from 30th to 16th place. Others surging upward at least three places are Minnesota, 15 to 11; Virginia, 16 to 13; South Carolina, 29 to 23; Nebraska, 36 to 33; and Alaska, 46 to 40.

Downward went the rankings of Washington, 9 to 15; Missouri, 17 to 20; Colorado, 21 to 24; Kentucky, 22 to 26; Connecticut (steepest toboggan), 25 to 32; Hawaii, 34 to 37; Maine, 42 to 46; and South Dakota, 44 to 47.

In every instance there are multiple demographic and economic developments that explain the changes perhaps in part, but the variables are so exceedingly numerous and difficult of precise quantification that they do not yield to meaningful manipulation. Let Gung-ho statisticians, econometrists, and computerologists exert themselves, but not forget that statistics are no substitute for judgment! Behind each figure there is a complex of historical, geographic, demographic, and other factors, including political and economic influences.

GRAPEVINE's figures are uncopyrighted and available to anyone wishing to use them, in whatever combinations with other data that may be desired. Such users are responsible for their own methods and results. More power to them! The figures have to be in a sense "preliminary" because they are assembled and organized before the mid-point of the fiscal year to which they appertain; they are also in a sense "self-correcting" because they are circulated to key persons in every state some months or weeks before the annual summaries are made.

There is a good deal of "rounding" in the handling of the figures, and there is some tolerance of cavalier disposition of marginal items involving relatively minuscule sums, to avoid delays that would otherwise accumulate. The object is not at all to produce an auditor's report of past expenditures; but to turn out a timely and reasonable approximation of current appropriations which will provide an ongoing view of what the fifty state legislatures are actually doing by way of state tax support of higher education of all levels and types.

The scene is not as gloomy as is widely thought. Yet there are hundreds of questions that this simple exhibit poses but does not answer. For example, why did Florida and Arizona--states that have had the highest rates of growth of total population for twenty years--not improve their relative rankings in support of higher education? Their attraction for retired persons will not explain this fully.

Table 55. APPROPRIATIONS OF STATE TAX FUNDS FOR ANNUAL OPERATING EXPENSES OF ALL HIGHER EDUCATION, FOR FISCAL YEARS 1970-71 AND 1976-77, IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS.

Year 1970-	71	States			Sta	tes		ar 1976-77
\$817,126	1	Cal	0			Cal	1	\$1,825,400
716 520	2	ΝΥ			o]	Y V	2	1,251,096
746,529	3	Ill				ľex	3	918,589
475,533	<u>,</u>	Mich			THE REAL PROPERTY.	111	4	680,971
343,691		Tex	-		_	Pa.	5	659,781
343,515	5				-	Mich	6	593,939
309,521	6	Pa			_	Ohio	7	502,225
260,690	7		0		_	F l a	8	434,857
241,356	8		<u> </u>				9	407,977
190,903	9	Wash	_			N C Wis	10	364,056
181,237	10	Wis			_	wis Minn	11	323,554
175,931	11	-	0				12	322,224
1 73 , 979	12	Ind	o	$\overline{}$	_	Ind		
154,430	13	N J	G	$\overline{}$		Va_	13	316,042
146,652	14	Ga	C		_	NJ	14	315,338
143,448	15	Minn	c-	>	V O	Wash	15	310,131
136,134	16	Va	G		و _	Ala	16	268,919
131,571	17	Mo	G~		10	Ga	17	268,919 265,562
121,813	18	La	a.			Md	18	256,777
120,961	19	Md			10	Mass	19	240,034
116,093	20	Mass				Мо	20	
110,624	21	Colo		\rightarrow	مَد ۔	_	21	
108,710	22	Ку	***		~~	_	22	214,998
108,062	23	_	<u>~</u>		ا ا	SC	23	210,239
	24	Tenn		\checkmark		Colo	24	206,236
98,598				/		Tenn	25	200,889
97 , 353	25 26	Conn	•		7	Ку	2 6	200,503
96,578		Ore	-	\angle		Ariz	27	184,786
83,351	27	Ariz				Ore	28	176,653
82,031	28	Kas						
77,446	29	S C				Kas	29	
74,825	30	Ala				Miss	30	
72 ,1 89	31	Miss	6-		_ \	Okla	31	152,263
69,467	32	Okla			10	Conn	32	145,888
58,719	33	W Va		_	- ARTHUR DE LA CONTRACTOR DE LA CONTRACT	Nebr	33	121,980
55 ,1 69	34	Hawaii				Ark	34	114,936
54,922	35	Ark				W Va	35	114,460
48,386	36	Nebr	0			Utah	36	108,937
45,320	37	Utah	G		0	Hawaii		97,884
4 1, 639	38	N M	<u> </u>		0	N M	38	
31,506	39	Ida	0	نننبه درجه نيير الكوافات الكرنبسة انيمهر بمعرب جمعه يعرب	0	Ida	39	
31,413	40	RI	Ó		10	Alaska		. , .
29,156	41	Mont			_	RI	41	64,771
27,783	42	Ме				N D	42	48,865
23,249	43		<u>~</u>		7	Mont	43	47,099
21,202	44	S D		\times		Del	44	
20,230	45	Del	_			Nev	45	
17,000		Alaska	_		\sim	Me	46	
15,908	47	Nev			~	S D	47	
14,758	48				.0	Wyo	48	
14,672	49	Vt	_		O	N H	49	,
10 02B		Wyo м и				Vt	50	
10,938	50	N H	0	Motol o	- 9	· V U		
974,320				Totals				13,911,885
139,486				Averages				278,238 200M
97M				Medians				20011