M. M. Chambers Education Building, Indiana University Bloomington, Indiana 47401

SINCE 1958		EIGHTH YEAR
Number 92	<u>November 1966</u>	Page_597_
Tara Constitution	$(x,y) = (x,y) \cdot (x,y$	
the American Marketine	GRAPEVINE	

* * * * * *

A newsletter on state tax legislation; state appropriations for universities, colleges, and junior colleges; legislation affecting education at any level. There is no charge for GRAPEVINE, but recipients are asked to send timely newsnotes regarding pertinent events in their respective states.

IN THIS ISSUE

One hundred and nine state institutions of higher education in order of state tax-fund appropriations
for annual operating expenses for fiscal year 1966-67
The twenty-five leading state universities as
measured by this yardstick 599
The second bloc: thirty-one state colleges and universities between \$15 million and \$30 million a year
The third bloc: twenty-eight institutions between \$10 million and \$15 million
The fourth bloc: twenty-five state colleges and universities between \$7 $\frac{1}{2}$ and \$10 million 602

Statement of ownership and circulation of GRAPEVINE is on Page 598 (reverse hereof).

LISTING ONE HUNDRED AND NINE STATE INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER EDUCATION IN DE-SCENDING ORDER OF THE AMOUNTS OF STATE TAX FUNDS CURRENTLY APPROPRIATED FOR ANNUAL OPERATING EXPENSES

Change and growth are taking place so swiftly that old concepts of relative size, support, and quality of colleges and universities can become obsolete within a few years. Ranking of institutions on any basis is nearly impossible.

Yet at least some salient characteristics of the institutions should be exposed, examined, and discussed, in the interest of allowing as many persons as possible to become really knowledgeable regarding the nationwide picture in public higher education.

GRAPEVINE received much favorable attention for the first efforts to list state universities and colleges in descending order of state tax funds appropriated for annual operating expenses. (The most recent of these efforts is Table 87 on page 577).

Consequently we continue this laborious task in the present issue. Table 1 lists 25 state universities whose state tax support for annual operating expenses for the current fiscal year is \$30 million or more.

Table 2 shows 31 institutions ranging from \$15 million to \$30 million a year. Table 3, twenty-eight institutions between \$10 million and \$15 million; and Table 4, twenty-five institutions between about $$7\frac{1}{2}$$ million and \$10 million.

Some exposition of what the dollar figures are intended to include and exclude is no doubt in order. An effort is made to embrace the range of educational activities supported in whole or in part by state tax-fund appropriations, by recording the state appropriations for those purposes.

Thus not only state appropriations for regular academic operations, but also for medical and paramedical schools and teaching hospitals are included, as well as appropriations for agricultural experiment stations and agricultural extension services.

It is intended to exclude all moneys originating from sources other than state tax funds, such as student fees and other receipts from institutional operation.

Recognizing that exact inter-institutional and interstate comparisons are impossible of attainment, but that reasonable approximations have a limited usefulness if not taken too literally, GRAPEVINE seeks to present facts not elsewhere available.

It is possible that Tables 1,2,3, and 4 may be marred by errors or omissions. We await critical comment with much interest. We are continually grateful for your collaboration.

GRAPEVINE is owned and circulated by M. M. Chambers. It is not a publication of any institution or association. Responsibility for any errors in the data, or for opinions expressed, is not to be attributed to any organization or person other than M. M. Chambers. GRAPEVINE is circulated chiefly to persons in position to reciprocate by furnishing prompt and accurate reports from their respective states regarding tax legislation, appropriations for higher education, and legislation affecting education at any level.

Address communications to M. M. Chambers, Education Building, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana, 47401.

M. M. Chambers, Education Building, Indiana University, Bloomington

THE TWENTY-FIVE LEADING STATE UNIVERSITIES DURING FISCAL YEAR 1966-67, MEASURED IN STATE TAX DOLLARS FOR ANNUAL OPERATING EXPENSES

Table 1. Twenty-five leading state universities in descending order of state tax support for annual operating expenses appropriated for <u>fiscal year 1966-67</u>, in thousands of dollars.

Ranl	c Institutions Sums appr	opriated	COMMENT: (a) and (b) in Column 1
(1)	(2)	(3)	are not comparable with the 25 institu-
(a)	U of California	240,388	tions listed because each is a state-
(b)	State U of N Y	196,301	wide congeries of institutions. The
Mighten or the Control of the Contro			University of California has ten cam-
1	University of Illinois c/	98,182	puses, including two of the 25: Nos. 6
2	University of Wisconsin c/	64,254	and 8. The State University of New
3	University of Michigan b/	58,095	York is a conglomeration of 60 campus-
4	University of Texas b/	57,951	es, including two that are newcomers
5	Michigan St University a/	55,571	to the list of 25: Nos. 20 and 21.
-			A large majority, if not all, the
6	U of Cal at Berkeley *	55,520	25 institutions have one or more units
7	University of Minnesota c/	54,148	of some type located at a distance from
8	U of Cal at Los Angeles *	52,778	their main campuses. Partial descrip-
9	University of Missouri c/	47,884	tive data on that characteristic were
10	U of North Carolina (cons)	46,532	exhibited in GRAPEVINE page 410, Table
			66.
11	Ohio State University c/	46,401	Changes in the rankings since fiscal
12	Indiana University b/	45,890	year 1965-66 and fiscal year 1963-64
13	University of Washingtonb/	43,173	may be noted by comparing page 410 and
14	University of Maryland c/	40,220	page 577 (Table 87) with the present
15	Louisiana State U c/	39,933	page and table.
			The list has been extended to 25
16	Pennsylvania State U <u>c/</u>	39 , 286	from the former 20. The first newcomer is
17	Purdue University a	39,251	the University of Kentucky, coming into
18	University of Kentucky c/ Southern Illinois U d/	38 , 553	18th place. Others in the list for the
19		38,078	first time are the State University of
20	S U N Y at Buffalo **	36,437	New York at Buffalo (which was the pri-
			vate University of Buffalo prior to 1963)
21	State Colleges at Cornell U *		in 20th place; the group of New York
22	Wayne State University	32,319	State Colleges adjacent to the private
23	University of Florida c/	32,231	Cornell University, in 21st place;
24	University of Iowa b/	31,764	Wayne State University in Detroit, Mi-
<u>25</u>	U of Mo (Columbia campus) ***	30,327	chigan's third university in this class;
	* Included in (a), above.		and the Columbia Campus of the Univer-
	** Included in (b), above.		sity of Missouri.

*** Included in No. 9, above. For a/b/c/d/see footnotes to Table 3, page 601. Thirteen of the 25 universities are in nine states of a contiguous midwestern bloc (Kentucky and Missouri included; with Missouri's multi-campus University appearing as No. 9 and its main campus as No. 25, reducing the actual number of large campuses to 24). Michigan has 3, Illinois 2, and Indiana 2. The other 11 universities are in seacoast states: three on the Pacific; three on the Gulf; and 5 on the Atlantic. California and New York now have two each; thus the 11 universities are in 9 states, and the 25 universities are in 18 states.

THE SECOND BLOC: THIRTY-ONE STATE UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGES DURING FISCAL YEAR 1966-67, AS MEASURED IN STATE TAX DOLLARS FOR ANNUAL OPERATING EXPENSES

Table 2. Thirty-one state universities and colleges in descending order of state tax support for annual operating expenses appropriated for <u>fiscal year 1966-67</u>, in thousands of dollars.

Institutions	Sums appropriated
(1)	(2)
1 Rutgers, State U of 1	N J c/ \$28,816
2 U of Cal (Davis Camp	us) 28,764
3 U of Texas (Austin Ca	ampus) 25,338
4 Texas A&M System	a/ 24,881
5 University of Tennes	
6 University of Hawaii	
7 Washington State U	a/ 22,572
8 University of Colora	
9 U of N C at Chapel H	
10 West Virginia Univer	
11 Iowa State Universit	y a = 21,244
12 University of Kansas	b/ 20,847
13 University of Georgi	
14 University of Connec	
	, , _
15 University of Oregon	
16 U of Cal (San Franci	
17 San Jose St Coll (Ca	
18 U of Massachusetts	<u>c/e</u> / 18,148
19 University of Alabam	na <u>b</u> / 17,917
20 University of Nebras	ka <u>c</u> / 17,566
21 Oregon St University	
	-
22 University of Arkans	sas <u>c</u> / 16,873
23 University of Arison	
24 Northern Ill Univers	
25 U of Cal (Santa Bark	
26 Cal Polytechnic Coll	
27 Long Beach St Coll (
28 San Diego St Coll (C	
29 Kansas St University	
30 Auburn University	a/ 15,140
31 Los Angeles St Coll	(Cal) d/ 15,099
a/ "Separate" Morrill A	ict institution
b/ "Separate" state uni	lversity
c/ State university and	
college in one ins	
d/ Formerly a teachers	college.
e/ The figure is for fi	iscal year 1965-66.
The 1966-67 figure	e would place this
institution higher	r in the ranking.
$\underline{\mathbf{f}}$ / The figure includes	
and the dental col	llege, which, though
bearing the name of	of the University,
are not administra	atively a part of

it.

COMMENT: In this group of institutions whose annual tax support is between \$15 million and \$30 million, five are Morrill Act Land-Grant universities in states having another principal state university located at a distance. Five are non-Morrill Act state universities in states having a separate Morrill Act institution. Ten are comprehensive state universities in which the land-grant institution is one and the same.

Seven are institutions which have evolved from normal schools and teachers colleges.

Four are campuses of multi-campus universities, one being the Austin campus of the University of Texas, and the others being the Davis, San Francisco, and Santa Barbara campuses of the University of California.

Several of the other universities in this group also have one or more branch campuses or similar outposts, but generally not yet developed to a size that would bring any one of them into this company.

The range here is from \$15 million a year to \$30 million. Considering Tables 1 and 2 together, it can be said that about fifty-six institutions are currently receiving appropriations of state tax funds for operating expenses at an annual rate of \$15 million or more. Twenty-five of these institutions (Table 1) are getting \$30 million or more.

These 56 institutions are about 14 per cent of the total of state universities and colleges in the United States. They are in a sense the core of the world's greatest nationwide system of public higher education. This does not detract from the importance of nearly 350 other smaller state universities and colleges. They too are members of the team, performing well, growing, and improving.

THE THIRD BLOC: 28 STATE UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGES DURING FISCAL YEAR 1966-67, AS MEASURED IN STATE TAX DOLLARS FOR ANNUAL OPERATING EXPENSES

Table 3. The third bloc of 28 state universities and colleges in descending order of state tax support for annual operating expenses appropriated for <u>fiscal</u> <u>year 1966-67</u>, in thousands of dollars.

Менто видоприятили по подпоратили по подпоратили по подпоратили по подпоратили по подпоратили по подпоратили по	;
Institutions Sums appr	opriated
(1)	(2)
1 San Francisco St Coll (Cal) d/	
2 University of Virginia b/	14,693
3 Western Michigan U d/	14,495
4 U of Cal (Riverside) 5 N Y Upstate Medical Ctr <u>e</u> /	13,825 13,771
6 University of Oklahoma b	1
7 Oklahoma State University a	
8 N Y Downstate Med Ctr e/	13,439
9 N Y State U at Albany <u>d</u> / <u>e</u> /	13,411
10 Illinois State University d	/ 13,161
10 Illinois State University de 11 Virginia Polytech Inst a	,
12 St U of N Y at Stony Brooke/f	12,791
13 Florida State University d	12,765
14 University of Utah b	
15 U of Cal (San Diego)	12,331
16 San Fernando St Coll (Cal) d	
17 Arizona State University d	/ 11,863
18 N C State U at Raleigh a, 19 Texas A & M University a/f.	
19 Texas A & M University $\underline{a}/\underline{f}$	/ 11,552
20 Colorado State University a	/ 11,280
21 University of New Mexico b	/ 11,208
22 N Y St Coll of Ag at Cornelle	/ 11,064
23 University of Houston g	
24 Medical Coll of Virginia	10,444
25 Texas Technological U 26 Ball St University (Ind) <u>d</u>	10,408 / 10,391
27 U of Oregon at Eugene b/h	
28 University of Idaho c	/ 10,203
a/ "Separate" Morrill Act instit	ution
b/ "Separate" state university	
c/ State university and land-gra	nt
college in one institution d/ Formerly a teachers college	
e/ A unit in the State University	y of
New York, previously includ	ed in
Table 1, GRAPEVINE page 599	· ce Ma /
$\underline{\mathbf{f}}$ / Previously included as a part in Table 2.	OT 110.4
g/ Formerly a private university	
acquired by the state in 19	63.
h/ Previously included in larger	aggre-

gate in Table 2.

COMMENT: In this third group of twenty-eight, 5 are separate Morrill Act institutions, five are separate state universities, only one is an institution in which the Morrill Act institution and the principal state university are one and the same.

Eight have the normal school or teachers college antecedents. Five are units in the burgeoning State University of New York (one being also a former teachers college). Three others include a state medical college in Virginia and a technological university and a former private urban university in Texas. Two campuses of the University of California are here.

The range of tax support for operating expenses is from \$10 million to \$15 million for the current fiscal year.

It is noteworthy that the eight former teachers colleges form the largest subgroup, and that they include two in California, one in Arizona, one in Florida, one in New York, and one each in Michigan Illinois, and Indiana—these three being a contiguous cluster in the heart of the midwest.

In Table 2 (the next higher grouping—a bloc of 31 institutions) California appeared with 5 institutions of the former teachers college type, and Illinois with 1. Thus it appears that among the top 84 state institutions of all types, there are at least 15 of the former teachers college class. (One, Southern Illinois University, has a place in Table 1, ranking as number 19).

Without exception, these fifteen institutions have accomplished phenomenal growth and improvement in recent years.

THE FOURTH BLOC: 25 STATE UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGES DURING FISCAL YEAR 1966-67, AS MEASURED IN STATE TAX DOLLARS FOR ANNUAL OPERATING EXPENSES

Table 4. Twenty-five state universities and colleges in descending order of state tax support for annual operating expenses appropriated for <u>fiscal year 1966-67</u>, in thousands of dollars.

the sale of the sa	
Institutions	Sums appropriated
(1)	(2)
1 Kent State University	
2 University of Rhode 3 3 Sacramento St Coll (
4 University of Missis	
5 Georgia Inst of Tech	
6 Ohio University (Ath	
7 Indiana St U (Terre	
8 NY St U Coll at Buff	
9 Eastern Michigan Uni 10 Univ of South Caroli	
10 only of South Caroli	
11 University of Wyomin	
12 North Texas St Unive	rsity \underline{d} 8,141
13 Eastern Illinois Uni	
14 University of Nevada	<u>c</u> / 8,074
15 University of Maine	<u>c</u> / 7,037
16 Western Illinois Uni	versity <u>d</u> / 7,992
17 N Y St U at Binghamt	on $g/7,942$
18 Southern University	(La) $h/7,934$
19 Memphis State Univer	sity $\frac{d}{7,843}$
20 U of Southwestern Lo	uisiana \underline{d} / 7,840
21 New Mexico St Univer	sity <u>a</u> / 7,683
22 University of Delawa	
23 U of Cal (Irvine Cam	
24 Chico State Coll (Ca	
25 Medical College of Ca/ "Separate" Morrill A	<u>eorgia 7,414</u>
a/ "Separate" Morrill A	ct institution.
b/ "Separate" state uni	versity.
c/ State university and college in one ins	
d/ Formerly a teachers	
e/ State technological	
f/ The oldest university	ty in Ohio. Not a
Morrill Act instit	tution, and not
now the principal	state university.
g/ Formerly a liberal a	arts college known
as Harpur College	; now developing a
graduate school.	il magantly for
h/ Same as c/, but unti Negroes exclusive	
i/ A new institution.	

COMMENT: In this fourth group the range is from about \$7½ million a year to about \$10 million. Somewhere within this range, not far from its middle, is the "average" institution among the approximately 400 state universities and colleges offering degree courses of four years or more.

Taking the \$3½ billion total for the current fiscal year and reducing it by roughly \$200 million to adjust for the inclusion of state aid for operating expense of local public 2-year colleges, then dividing it by 400, we arrive at an "average" annual appropriation of a little over \$8 million for operating expenses of an institution offering degree courses of four years or more. The median, which would be the 200th institution in the ranking, is obviously far below the average of a little more than \$8 million.

This average group contains only one university footnoted a/, two b/, and five c/. Most of the institutions of those three types have appeared in Tables 1, 2, and 3, though a few are to come in later tabulations.

Eleven of this present group are in the d/ classification (former teachers colleges). Five others have different histories which cause them to be noted e/, f/, g/, h/, and i/. Lastly, the Medical College of Georgia is a type found in a few states (such as Virginia and Oregon)—a medical college standing as a separate institution, not a part of a state university. Manifestly, in such instances the fiscal ranking of the state university is considerably lower than it would be if it included the medical college.

Foregoing further summarizing at this point, GRAPEVINE invites critical comment on the four tabulations in this issue.

Not copyrighted. If you quote or paraphrase, please credit the source in appropriate manner. M. M. Chambers, Indiana University, Bloomington 47401.