M. M. Chambers Education Building, Indiana University Bloomington, Indiana 47405

SINCE		OLVERA PLYTU
1958	TANTIADAR TOCA	YEAR Page 202
Number 59	JANUARY 1964	Page 393
	GRAPEVINE	
	* * * * * *	
		,
sities, colleges, a	ate tax legislation; state appropriate junior colleges: legislation is in o charge for GRAPEVINE, but y newsnotes regarding pertinent e	affecting education ; recipients are
<u>California</u> st	ndies how state university, state and junior college faculty member their salaries, fringe benefits, conditions	rs regard and working
Georgia gets	report of Governor's Commission to	Improve395
Pennsylvania	appropriates 466 million of state for operating expenses of higher for fiscal year 1963-64	education
	uncil of Presidents of State-Aided of Higher Learning projects enrol 63,500 full-time students in 1970 for about \$125 million for operat and more than \$50 million for cap for biennium 1964-66	llment of O. Will ask ting expenses pital outlays 397
<u>Ohio</u> legislat	ure allocates \$103 million of bond to state universities, municipal sities, and community colleges. attention to development in Cleve Youngstown areas	univer- Special ėland and

Statement of ownership and circulation of GRAPEVINE is on Page 394 (reverse hereof).

CALIFORNIA. The Coordinating Council for Higher Education, the statutory statewide advisory body set up in 1961, is publishing a number of interesting statewide studies. Under date of August 1963, bearing the number 1007, is Faculty Opinion Toward Salary, Fringe Benefits and Working Conditions.

This is a 52-page mimeographed and bound report of an inquiry to some 1,200 faculty members of (1) the University of California, (2) the state colleges, and (3) the public junior colleges.

Salaries are important, but are not always the primary attraction. Nor are fringe benefits invariably the clinching factor, though they have peculiar advantages. For example, a system of sabbatical leaves costing about 3% of faculty payroll is more attractive than a 3% rise in salaries. And some other benefits, such as group medical insurance, are obtained for the faculty as a group on very favorable terms.

Probably the most powerful magnets for superior and potentially superior faculty members are under the rubric of "working conditions" such as the availability of office space and equipment, clerical and secretarial help, and the opportunity to be associated with colleagues of high caliber and to enjoy a milieu in which relations between faculty and administration are cordial, cooperative, and mutually understanding.

"Good people cannot always be bought by high salaries and more fringe benefits. What they seek is opportunities and facilities to expand their professional competence. This is by no means pious rhetoric, for with these opportunities and facilities come achievement and recognition, after which an increment in income is a foregone conclusion."

In general, it is indicated that fringe benefits and working conditions at the University of California are good, but that there is much room for improvement at the state colleges and at the public junior colleges. All faculty groups urged the improvement of research and library facilities.

GRAPEVINE is owned and circulated by M. M. Chambers. It is not a publication of any institution or association. Responsibility for any errors in the data, or for opinions expressed, is not to be attributed to any organization or person other than M. M. Chambers. GRAPEVINE is circulated chiefly to persons in position to reciprocate by furnishing prompt and accurate reports from their respective states regarding tax legislation, appropriations for higher education, and legislation affecting education at any level.

Address communications to M. M. Chambers, Education Building, Indiana University Bloomington, Indiana 47405

CECRGIA. A 72-page printed document is the report of the Governor's Commission to Improve Education, organized in July 1963. Entitled Educating Georgia's People: Investment in the Future, the document covers education at all levels. There is a 16-page chapter on "Education Beyond the High School" and a 12-page chapter on "Finance."

The Commission wisely recommends that the Board of Regents of the University System, governing all 19 institutions of higher education supported by the state, should take steps to deemphasize its detailed administrative functions, and to emphasize cooperative study, long-range planning, coordination, and public advocacy of the needs of higher education.

Moreover, "the expanded staff (of the Board of Regents) must encourage and protect institutional initiative, authority, and autonomy within the scope of the authorized roles and functions of each." The Commission adds: "There is a strong tendency for central staffs as they are expanded to invade the rightful prerogatives of the institutions. This can lead only to destruction of their effectiveness."

This is a much sounder theoretical basis than can be found in many statewide survey reports made in Georgia and other states in the past. It marks a great step forward from the crude concept of coercive consolidation, of "knocking heads together" which has been all too prominent in earlier recommendations in various states.

As an example of one type of question of public policy which the Board of Regents of the University System should be equipped to decide, the Commission cites the matter of whether Georgia should establish a state-supported dental school in connection with the Medical College of Georgia at Augusta. At present the only dental school in the state is at Emory University, a private institution; and this relatively

small dental school (80 first-year students, possibly to be increased to 100) currently gets about two-thirds of its students from outside the state.

The Commission plumps for the comprehensive community-junior college as "the primary means by which local area and community needs should be met for education beyond the high school. In Georgia the Beard of Regents has authority to establish and operate two-year colleges; and currently has nine such colleges either in eperation or authorized and in planning stages. Under a community-college act of 1958, local taxing districts may alse establish junior colleges and receive \$300 per student per year from the state. Only one such college is now in operation (in De Kalb County). Georgia has 26 area vocational schools under the jurisdiction of the State Board of Education.

The tendency, as everywhere, is for the junior college and the area vocational school to provide offerings which "overlap". That is, it is no longer practicable to restrict the junior colleges to purely academic offerings and restrict the vocational-technical schools to occupational courses of less than college grade. "These distinctions are being erased by the rising level of ability and skill needed for most occupations today and by the desire of a rapidly increasing number of young people to attend college for at least one or two years."

Shrewdly observing that it is not possible to turn the clock backward and start from scratch, the Commission rejects such commonplace ideas as putting all types of immediate post-high school institutions under the State Board of Education, or under the Board of Regents, or under a new Community College Board for the state. Instead it recommends a plan of close cooperation between the two existing state

boards, spelled out in considerable detail, the upshot of which would be that eventually comprehensive offerings of academic and vocational instruction would be made available in each community of sufficient size, with a minimum of unrecessary overlapping and a maximum of cooperation.

It is also unequivocally recommended that the constitution and statutes he changed so that "junior colleges supported by state funds may be established and operated only as parts of the University System of Georgia."

The concise and hardsomely-printed report is based on eleven separate staff memoranda, plus a Financial Program for Higher Education in Georgia prepared by a subcommittee under the chairmanship of James A. Blissitt, Treasurer of the Board of Regents.

This latter, estimating that student enrollment in the entire system will be almost exactly doubled in 12 years (from 1963-64 to 1975-76), indicates that appropriations of state tax funds for operating expenses should be \$50,109,000 for fiscal year 1964-65, and should rise to \$83,370,000 in 1968-69, and to \$133,359,000 for 1973-74. Estimates are also provided for necessary capital outlays.

Governor Carl Sanders was chairman of the 3h-member Commission, and provided a preface to the report in which he said, "Education is the single most important factor in determining the economic and social well-being of a state."

Director of the professional staff was Dr. James Lyle Miller, Jr., whose permanent position is on the staff of the Southern Regional Education Board, as Associate Director for Research. The Commission and its staff have, within a work period of only about six months, provided the legislature and the people of Georgia with notably good counsel.

PENNSYLVANIA. After adjourning in early August without completing the appropriations for 1963-64, the legislature was called into special session November 12 to deal with reapportionment, and into a second special session December 9 to deal with appropriations. On December 14 the appropriations for 1963-64 for operating expenses of higher education were completed, as reported in Table 63.

Table 63. State tax-fund appropriations for operating expenses of higher education in Pennsylvania, fiscal year 1963-64, in thousands of dollars.

dollars.			
Institutions	Sums appropriated		
(1)	. (2)		
Pennsylvania State U	\$25,090		
State colleges	, , , , , , ,		
Indiana	2,151		
West Chester	1,722		
California	1,465		
Millersville	1,219		
Bloomsburg	1,175		
Clarion	1,153		
Kutztown	1,040		
Edinboro	1,004		
East Stroudsburg	990		
Slippery Rock	948		
Shippensburg	889		
For allocation	1,040		
Subtotal - \$16,991			
Total	42,081		
Private institutions) www		
U of Pennsylvania	6,659		
Temple University	6,516		
y of Pittsburgh	4,795		
Jefferson Med Coll	1 729		
Hahnemann Med Col.	1,188		
Drexel Inst of Te	ch 977		
Women's Medical C	oll 621		
Phila Coll Osteop	athy 483		
Lincoln Universit	ý 377		
Phila Museum C of	Art 171		
Del Valley Cof S	cience 80		
Dickinson. Law Sch	oor in		
ParSt. Coll Optome	try 03		
Pa Acad of Fine A	rts 3		
Subtotal - \$23,9	82		
Grand total	66,064		

M. M. Chambers, Education Building, Indiana University, Bloomington

PENNSYLVANIA (Continued from Page 396)

The grand total represents a gain of slightly more than 12% over the comparable figure for 1961-62, two years

Pennsylvania is the only state among the fifty in which substantial appropriations of state tax funds are made directly to private institutions of higher education for operating expenses. A handful of other states make such appropriations, but usually to only one or two institutions, and in amounts so small as to be almost negligible, on the order of what scientists describe as "trace amounts."

Pennsylvania's classification of institutions of higher education seems to be unique. Habitually it sets out as "state-owned" the lh state colleges only. As "state-aided" it includes the Pennsylvania State University and a conglomeration of strictly private institutions, chief among which are the "big three" (University of Pennsylvania, Temple University, and University of Pittsburg).

Each of the "big three" private institutions just named receives annual appropriations separately for its general operations and for the operation of its medical school. Only the totals are shown in Table 63. These totals, though substantial, amount to only minor fractions of the total budgets of the institutions.

Also getting separate annual appropriations are four other private medical colleges (Jefferson, Handmann, Women's; and Philadelphia College of Osteopathy). Not overlooked are Drexel Institute of Technology and Lincoln University, and half a dozen lesser institutions as named in Table 63.

To all practical intents and purposes the Pennsylvania State University is the state university as its name indicates, and GRAPEVINE treats it as such technicalities to the contrary notwithstanding.

VIRGINIA. A 20-page mimeographed and bound report of the Council of Presidents of State-Aided Institutions of Higher Learning in Virginia bears date of November 1, 1963, and is entitled Higher Education and Economic Development in Virginia.

Projections for the biennium to begin in 1970 indicate an enrollment of 63,500 full-time students in state-supported institutions, as compared with the 29,600 enrolled in 1962. State tax funds appropriated for operating expenses will need to reach \$216 million for the 1970 biennium, as compared with \$69 million appropriated in 1962.

Press reports of information recently released by the state budget office indicate that the total of institutional requests for tax funds for operating expenses for biennium 1964-66 will be about \$125 million. An additional total of more than \$50 million will be sought for capital outlays.

Virginia is one of only three states whose legislatures convene in regular session biennially in even-numbered years. About 17 other states have annual regular sessions. Hence approximately 20 legislatures will be in session during the early months of 1964.

CORRECTION For Table 62, Page 392

In Columns 5, 6, 7, and 8, the firmres for Minnesota should be rectified as follows: (5) 1,059; (6) 1,607; (7) 729; and (8) 83. These corrections will be made in the next revision of Table 62, and will necessitate slight changes in the column totals. They will not substantially change the summary figures nor the general impression created by Table 62. GRAPEVINE is grateful for the correction.

OHIO. Early in December 1963 the legis- Table 64 (continued). lature authorized for the biennium 1963-65 allocation of 3103, 112,900 of the proceeds of the 250 million bond issue approved by the voters on November 5, for higher education, as indicated in Table 64.

Table 64. Capital outlay appropriations, of proceeds of bond issue approved November 5, 1963, for biennium 1963-65, for higher education in Ohio, in thousands of dollars.

	COTTOT O 9	· · ·
Institutions	Sums authorized	i
(1)	(2))
Ohio State Universit	y \$18,15	2 .
Lima branch campus	3,30	
Dayton branch camp	us* 3,00	3 0
Mansfield branch	2,20	σ
Ohio Ag Experiment	Sta 2,52	24
Subtotal - \$29,1	76 ⁻	
Ohio University	8,92	20
Portsmouth branch*	** **	00
Chillicothe branch	***** 10	00
Zanesville branch*	**** 10	00
Belmont County bra	nch***** 10	00
Subtotal - #9,420	0	•
Miami University	5,94	17
Dayton branch camp	us* 3,00	
Middletown branch	1.00	
Subtotal \$9,94	7 .	
Kent State University		14.
Ashtabula branch**		00
Canton branch****	10	00
Subtotal \$8,49		
Bowling Green State 1		7
Tech Inst at Rossfe	ord** 1,00	
Central State College		
Total, state institu		

See continuation of this table in next column, this page.

Footnotes also appear in next column.

•			
i	Institutions	Sums	authorized
į	(1)		(2)
į.	Municipal universities -		
ί,	University of Akron		6,000
٠	U of Cincinnati.		6.000
ì	U of Toledo		6,000
1	Youngstown U***.	•	5,000
l	Subtotal \$23,000		
	Community colleges		
	Cuyahoga Comm Coll		1,000
	Lorain County Comm Col	11	1,000
	Other commitments to		
]	Cuyahoga County****		
	An engineering school	***	.000و 3
	A technical center***	ŧ	750
١	Higher education****		7,250
١.	Board of Regents for dev	æl.⇒	
ļ	opment of master plan		1,000
ĺ	Grand total	10	3,113
١.	* The Dayton campus i	S ODE	rated
	cooperatively by Ohio St	ate T	niversity
	and Miami University.		
ŀ	** This appropriation	ĭs ar	lif and
	when item. There is the	ought	of estab-
٠	lishing a technical inst	i tute	on the
	site of a surplus army i	nstal	lation at
	nossiord, probably to be	rabe :	nistered
•	as a branch of Bowling. G	reen	State U.
	*** Youngstown Universi	.tv is	now a
	private institution. In	orde	r to oua-
	lify for this appropriat	ion.	it will be
	necessary for it to become	me a	municipal
	university, or perhaps t	o est	ablish a
:	public community college	dist	rict or a
	technical institute dist	rict.	•
	*** These commitments,	large	lv unspe-
	cified, are all at the d	iscre	tion of
	the Board of Regents. A	mone	the possi-
	bilities, the technical	cente	r may be
	a part of Cuyahoga Commu	nitv	Colleges
	and the engineering scho	ol ma	v.be in
	some type of affiliation	with	Fenn
•	College, a private insti	tutio	n. These
	matters are all undecide	d at	present.
٠	***** For planning only.		

Not copyrighted. If you quote or paraphrase, please credit the source in appropriate manner.